



USPAP Q&A

Vol. 11, No. 3

March 2009

The Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) of The Appraisal Foundation develops, interprets, and amends the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) on behalf of appraisers and users of appraisal services. The USPAP Q&A is a form of guidance issued by the ASB to respond to questions raised by appraisers, enforcement officials, users of appraisal services and the public to illustrate the applicability of USPAP in specific situations and to offer advice from the ASB for the resolution of appraisal issues and problems. The USPAP Q&A may not represent the only possible solution to the issues discussed nor may the advice provided be applied equally to seemingly similar situations. USPAP Q&A does not establish new standards or interpret existing standards. USPAP Q&A is not part of USPAP and is approved by the ASB without public exposure and comment.

The Impact of Different Clients on Assignment Results with Otherwise Identical Assignment Elements and Scope of Work

Question:

Assuming otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will an appraiser's value opinion for an assignment be the same regardless of the appraiser's client?

Examples:

Assuming otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will an appraiser's value opinion for an eminent domain assignment be the same regardless of whether the assignment is for the condemnee or the condemnor?

In a litigation assignment with otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will the appraiser's value opinion be the same regardless of whether the appraiser was hired by the defendant or the plaintiff or a third-party?

In an appraisal prepared for a tax assessment appeal with otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will the appraiser's value opinion be the same regardless of whether the appraiser was hired by the government or the taxpayer?

In an appraisal prepared for a gift donation for tax filing purposes with otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will the appraiser's value opinion be the same regardless of whether the appraiser was hired by the IRS or the taxpayer?

Assuming otherwise identical assignment elements and scope of work, will an appraiser's value opinion be the same independent of the client and other intended user(s)?

Response:

Before answering these questions, we first need to review portions of the SCOPE OF WORK RULE.

In any appraisal, appraisal review or appraisal consulting assignment, the appraiser must identify the problem to be solved, then determine and perform the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results in the context of the intended use. Appraisers have broad flexibility and significant responsibility in determining the appropriate scope of work for an assignment. It is the appraiser's responsibility, with input from the client, to identify the assignment elements. Assignment elements are the:

- client and any other intended users;
- intended use of the appraiser's opinions and conclusions;
- type and definition of value;
- effective date of the appraiser's opinions and conclusions;
- subject of the assignment and its relevant characteristics; and
- assignment conditions.

Assignment conditions include assumptions, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions, laws and regulations, jurisdictional exceptions and other conditions that affect scope of work.

The answer to each of the above questions is yes. Providing the other assignment elements (except the client) and the scope of work are the same, the appraiser's value opinion will be the same.

As an example, suppose an appraiser is requested to provide an opinion of the market value of a property for a specific intended use, such as for a potential sale or acquisition. Unless other assignment elements are different, and the appraiser establishes and follows a different scope of work as a result of differing assignment elements, there will be no difference in the value opinion regardless of whether the intended user is the buyer, seller, or a third party.

In all assignments, the appraiser must comply with the Management section of the ETHICS RULE, which prohibits compensation that is based on "a direction in assignment results that favors the cause of the client." In all assignments, the appraiser must comply with the Conduct section of the ETHICS RULE which states, "An appraiser must perform assignments with impartiality, objectivity, and independence, and without

accommodation of personal interests.” In addition, “An appraiser must not advocate the cause or interest of any party or issue.” If an appraiser’s results vary solely depending on whether the client is a buyer or seller, the appraiser would be acting as an advocate for the cause of the client.

There are times, however, when assignments involving the same property will have different assignment elements. These could include different effective dates, types and definitions of value (market value, as opposed to insurable value, for example) or assignment conditions. As a result of a change in assignment elements, the value conclusion may be different; ***but the value conclusion will not differ simply because the client changed.*** The value conclusion differs because one or more of the other assignment elements changed; as a result, the appraiser established and followed a different scope of work.

Does the Certification on the Uniform Residential Appraisal Report (URAR) Form Also Extend to the Market Conditions Addendum?

Question:

When I complete the new Market Conditions form (such as the Fannie Mae 1004MC) and include it within my report, does the certification contained in the URAR form apply to the Market Conditions form as well?

Response:

Yes. The name of the form in question is the *Market Conditions Addendum to the Appraisal Report*. Any addendum is part of a larger report (in this case, a URAR form). In addition, the Market Conditions form is clearly identified as an addendum, as evidenced by the following language at the top of the form:

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the lender/client with a clear and accurate understanding of the market trends and conditions prevalent in the subject neighborhood. This is a required addendum for all appraisal reports with an effective date on or after April 1, 2009.

The Comment to Standards Rule 2-3 states, “In an assignment that includes only assignment results developed by the real property appraiser(s), any appraiser(s) who signs a certification accepts full responsibility for all elements of the certification, for the assignment results, and for the contents of the appraisal report.” Thus, the certification applies to the entire appraisal and report, including any addenda.

The USPAP Q&A is posted each month on The Appraisal Foundation website (www.appraisalfoundation.org). The ASB compiles the monthly USPAP Q&A into the USPAP Frequently Asked Questions (USPAP FAQ) for publication with each edition of USPAP. In addition to incorporating the most recent questions and responses issued by the ASB, the USPAP FAQ is reviewed and updated to ensure that it represents the most recent guidance from the ASB. The USPAP Frequently Asked Questions can be purchased (along with USPAP and USPAP Advisory Opinions) by visiting the “Foundation Store” page on The Appraisal Foundation website (<https://commerce.appraisalfoundation.org>).

For further information regarding USPAP Q&A, please contact:

John S. Brennan, Director of Research and Technical Issues

The Appraisal Foundation
1155 15th Street, NW, Suite 1111
Washington, DC 20005

(202) 624-3044

(202) 347-7727 fax

john@appraisalfoundation.org